

Edwards's Wet Hopes Blasted By Graft Issue

Frelinghuysen Sentiment Grows in New Jersey as Charges of Alleged Fraud Involve the Governor

State Loses \$1 a Yard

\$30,000 Road-Building Loss and No Concrete Are Balancing Anti-Dry Wave

By Carter Field

TRENTON, N. J., Oct. 18.—Vicious attacks on alleged road building graft in New Jersey have created a diversion in the hitherto uninterrupted wet and dry battle there. So important is this new development that many observers who had thought Governor Edwards would be swept into the Senate on a tidal wave of booze now think Senator Joseph P. Frelinghuysen has a good chance of being re-elected.

Jersey, of course, is normally a Republican state. The old farming element traces its Republicanism back to Civil War days. The tremendous industrial development places great importance on a Republican protective tariff. Ever growing circles which form the commuting range from New York and Philadelphia have increased the Republican lead materially in the last few years.

But Governor Edwards upset this Republican majority and attained national prominence three years ago when he was elected Governor by a tremendous majority simply and solely on the promise that he would make Jersey as "wet as the Atlantic Ocean."

As a matter of fact it should be admitted that he has pretty nearly carried out his pledge. Only the repeated raids by Federal enforcement officials cause any concealment at all, and the concealment does not cramp the style of the truly thirsty very long. So that the wets of Jersey ought to be grateful to Governor Edwards for their opportunity to violate the law, even if the prices, both for beer and liquor, are a bit stiff.

Incidentally, the amount of graft involved in this simple compliance with the wishes of what seems to be a majority of the voters is prodigious. It would shock even a Tammany leader, or the chief of police in a Connecticut coast town.

Edwards's Ambition Long-Standing Governor Edwards overestimated the strength of the liquor sentiment in the country a year after he was elected, and aspired to the nomination for President at the San Francisco convention.

This movement did not get very far, the Edwards delegates contenting themselves with voting for Cox. The Governor at once began laying plans, however, to be elected Senator, especially as the issue would be clear-cut against Senator Frelinghuysen, who had voted not only for the prohibition amendment, but for "Volstead."

In the drive against Frelinghuysen Edwards' lieutenants laid great stress on the fact that the Senator was not personally dry, possessing very fine cellar, but had voted to impose dryness on the "poor working man." Their hero, on the other hand, was personally a teetotaler, but his heart bled for the afflicted "personal liberty" of so many of his constituents.

There is no doubt that up to a short time ago Edwards was making such headway with this issue that his election seemed almost a certainty. Very prominent national leaders with whom the writer talked two weeks ago simply wrote Jersey off for a loss of a seat in Senate.

This tide has been checked, if not

turned, by the smashing attacks on alleged graft in state road-building. The attacks were begun by E. A. Van Valkenburg, the famous crusading editor of "The Philadelphia North American." Details of the attacks are in the hands of every Republican worker in the state, and a desperate effort is being made to shift the issue from whisky and beer to graft.

Commissioner Aids Attacks

Briefly stated, the attack on the Edwards road administration—which attack is aided by one of the Jersey Road Commissioners, George Paddock—is that Jersey is paying \$2.50 a square yard for a patented road surface, which Pennsylvania and other states buy minus the patented name at \$1.50 a square yard. Roads built of this material, it is charged, cost on the average \$85,580 a mile last year, and \$86,530 a mile this year, while concrete roads were built this year for \$57,000 a mile. So that the cost of this favored material is about \$28,530 a mile more for concrete, and this represents the tribute exacted by the political exaction.

A further loss of \$15,000 a mile, it is charged, is occasioned, because in drafting the specifications so that only this patented road material can be used the requirements of the Federal road act are ignored, and \$15,000 a mile of Federal aid is therefore rejected. Added together, the additional cost of this favored material concerns the plus the money which could be obtained from the Federal government, makes \$43,000 a mile, precisely the cost of White Horse Pike, the famous road from Camden to Atlantic City, known to every touring motorist in the East as being as good a bit of road as exists.

The charge is therefore made against the Edwards road administration that every mile of road laid with this favored material deprives the citizens of the state of exactly a mile of good concrete road, for it is contended that two miles of concrete road could be laid—with Federal aid, which could then be obtained—at the cost of one mile of this material, conceded to be poorer than concrete.

Edwards's Change of Front

One of the amazing points of the charges being made against Edwards is that he personally favored, until recently, a \$40,000,000 bond issue for the building of more roads. As the measure was passed by the Legislature, however, the Governor vetoed it, whereupon the Legislature passed it over his veto. All of which occasioned much surprise and curiosity until, then, attaching the Governor on the road building issue say, the text of the \$40,000,000 loan bill was examined. Then it was discovered that the wording of this law would have required the specifications for road contracts to be so drawn that the state could not have continued awarding contracts for this favored material, unless, of course, the price of this material was cut so as to compete with the prices of similar products.

Even if the road loan is defeated, it is said, the building of a large mileage of roads can be continued, the initiative being with local communities, but the state bearing the expense.

A curious feature, and one which has given considerable punch to the attack on Governor Edwards, is that his own county, Hudson has not had to pay extravagant prices for this road material—warrentite. Mayor Frank Hague, the Democratic boss of the county, boasts that there is not a mile of warrentite paving which was put down as warrentite. There is a stretch of paving in the county that is warrentite in everything except name. The Board of Freeholders simply asked for bids for bituminous bitulithic paving, and got it in open competition from independent contractors, as Pennsylvania gets it, without paying anything extra for the warrentite patent.

One of the daily attacks on the alleged road graft in "The Philadelphia North American" quoted John J. McHugh, of the Hudson County Freeholders Board, as follows:

"There is only one reason for specifying warrentite, and that's the old dough. If they are not looking for that they don't have to specify warrentite. We don't and we won't."

There is no doubt that up to a short time ago Edwards was making such headway with this issue that his election seemed almost a certainty. Very prominent national leaders with whom the writer talked two weeks ago simply wrote Jersey off for a loss of a seat in Senate.

This tide has been checked, if not

turned, by the smashing attacks on alleged graft in state road-building. The attacks were begun by E. A. Van Valkenburg, the famous crusading editor of "The Philadelphia North American." Details of the attacks are in the hands of every Republican worker in the state, and a desperate effort is being made to shift the issue from whisky and beer to graft.

Commissioner Aids Attacks

Briefly stated, the attack on the Edwards road administration—which attack is aided by one of the Jersey Road Commissioners, George Paddock—is that Jersey is paying \$2.50 a square yard for a patented road surface, which Pennsylvania and other states buy minus the patented name at \$1.50 a square yard. Roads built of this material, it is charged, cost on the average \$85,580 a mile last year, and \$86,530 a mile this year, while concrete roads were built this year for \$57,000 a mile. So that the cost of this favored material is about \$28,530 a mile more for concrete, and this represents the tribute exacted by the political exaction.

A further loss of \$15,000 a mile, it is charged, is occasioned, because in drafting the specifications so that only this patented road material can be used the requirements of the Federal road act are ignored, and \$15,000 a mile of Federal aid is therefore rejected. Added together, the additional cost of this favored material concerns the plus the money which could be obtained from the Federal government, makes \$43,000 a mile, precisely the cost of White Horse Pike, the famous road from Camden to Atlantic City, known to every touring motorist in the East as being as good a bit of road as exists.

The charge is therefore made against the Edwards road administration that every mile of road laid with this favored material deprives the citizens of the state of exactly a mile of good concrete road, for it is contended that two miles of concrete road could be laid—with Federal aid, which could then be obtained—at the cost of one mile of this material, conceded to be poorer than concrete.

Edwards's Change of Front

One of the amazing points of the charges being made against Edwards is that he personally favored, until recently, a \$40,000,000 bond issue for the building of more roads. As the measure was passed by the Legislature, however, the Governor vetoed it, whereupon the Legislature passed it over his veto. All of which occasioned much surprise and curiosity until, then, attaching the Governor on the road building issue say, the text of the \$40,000,000 loan bill was examined. Then it was discovered that the wording of this law would have required the specifications for road contracts to be so drawn that the state could not have continued awarding contracts for this favored material, unless, of course, the price of this material was cut so as to compete with the prices of similar products.

Even if the road loan is defeated, it is said, the building of a large mileage of roads can be continued, the initiative being with local communities, but the state bearing the expense.

A curious feature, and one which has given considerable punch to the attack on Governor Edwards, is that his own county, Hudson has not had to pay extravagant prices for this road material—warrentite. Mayor Frank Hague, the Democratic boss of the county, boasts that there is not a mile of warrentite paving which was put down as warrentite. There is a stretch of paving in the county that is warrentite in everything except name. The Board of Freeholders simply asked for bids for bituminous bitulithic paving, and got it in open competition from independent contractors, as Pennsylvania gets it, without paying anything extra for the warrentite patent.

One of the daily attacks on the alleged road graft in "The Philadelphia North American" quoted John J. McHugh, of the Hudson County Freeholders Board, as follows:

"There is only one reason for specifying warrentite, and that's the old dough. If they are not looking for that they don't have to specify warrentite. We don't and we won't."

There is no doubt that up to a short time ago Edwards was making such headway with this issue that his election seemed almost a certainty. Very prominent national leaders with whom the writer talked two weeks ago simply wrote Jersey off for a loss of a seat in Senate.

This tide has been checked, if not

turned, by the smashing attacks on alleged graft in state road-building. The attacks were begun by E. A. Van Valkenburg, the famous crusading editor of "The Philadelphia North American." Details of the attacks are in the hands of every Republican worker in the state, and a desperate effort is being made to shift the issue from whisky and beer to graft.

Commissioner Aids Attacks

Briefly stated, the attack on the Edwards road administration—which attack is aided by one of the Jersey Road Commissioners, George Paddock—is that Jersey is paying \$2.50 a square yard for a patented road surface, which Pennsylvania and other states buy minus the patented name at \$1.50 a square yard. Roads built of this material, it is charged, cost on the average \$85,580 a mile last year, and \$86,530 a mile this year, while concrete roads were built this year for \$57,000 a mile. So that the cost of this favored material is about \$28,530 a mile more for concrete, and this represents the tribute exacted by the political exaction.

Miller Warns Workers Law Must Prevail

Tells Shopmen Duty of State and Governor Is to Preserve Lives and Property of Citizens

Denounces Smith's Policy

Assails Opponent as Making Covert Appeal to Undesirables in Community

From a Staff Correspondent

HORNELL, N. Y., Oct. 18.—"I conceive it to be the highest duty of the state and of the Governor of the state to maintain law and order and to preserve the lives and property of the citizens. Whenever either is threatened, I propose to use all of the power of the state to protect the liberty, the lives and the property of its citizens."

This was the assertion of Governor Miller to-night before an audience of 1,500, who crowded the Shattuck Theater to hear him—his assertion those who heard him took to be a challenge to the lawless element of labor.

Hornell is the place where the shops of the Erie Railroad are scattered and nearly all summer long the city has suffered under the stress of the shopmen's strike, which brought so much disorder that the Governor was requested by the Mayor to send in the state police to uphold the law. He did so, and the antagonism that this step usually raises, both among the workmen, who feel that the measure is oppressive, and among the citizens, who feel that the good name of their town has been smirched, still rankles here.

Nathan L. Miller is no suppliant for votes, endeavoring to placate with soft words those he has offended by his official actions, and he showed this to-night as never before when he faced the people of Hornell and told them that industrial lawlessness requires the same stern means as any other kind of lawlessness, that a just cause needs no violence and that those who felt otherwise are no true friends of labor.

Denounces Smith's Policy

So carried away by the larger topic was the Governor that one would never have known that he was making a campaign speech had he not introduced the subject by a reference to his Democratic adversary's avowed position toward labor disputes. He only paused on ex-Governor Smith's declaration of policy long enough to denounce it as a covert and subversive appeal to the undesirable elements of the community.

"Referring to industrial disputes, Mr. Smith has said that he personally settled them, while I called out the police," said the Governor. "You had an industrial dispute affecting conditions in Hornell. Would Mr. Smith, had he been Governor, have settled that dispute? It was a dispute on a nation-wide scale, involving the jurisdiction of only one governmental agency, and that was the Federal Labor Board. Would Mr. Smith have intervened and settled that dispute? His intervention or my attempted inter-

vention would have been resented by all sides as an intrusion. "He says I called out the police. I did call out the police. I sent the state police to Hornell. Would he have refused to send the state police to Hornell when the Mayor advised him, as he did me, that a state of lawlessness had arisen that had got beyond the power of the local police to control?"

"Mr. Smith's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

vention would have been resented by all sides as an intrusion. "He says I called out the police. I did call out the police. I sent the state police to Hornell. Would he have refused to send the state police to Hornell when the Mayor advised him, as he did me, that a state of lawlessness had arisen that had got beyond the power of the local police to control?"

"Mr. Smith's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the lives and property of the citizens of the state protected."

Miller's suggestion that I called out the police, if it means anything at all, is a covert appeal to those who do not want law and order preserved, who do not want the